



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

NOTES OF CASES.

Turntable Doctrine Upheld.—That doctrine usually alluded to as the “turntable cases” has of late been so often swerved from, that the decision in *Snare & Triest Co. v. Friedman*, 169 Federal Reporter, 1, assumes interest because of its adherence to it. Defendant, an independent contractor, had left material, consisting partly of heavy iron girders, near a street. One of these beams became so nicely balanced on the other material that it could easily be displaced and caused to fall. Plaintiff, a little girl $4\frac{1}{2}$ years old, with several companions had been skating on the pavement, when she sat at the base of the girders to rest. One of her companions, climbing upon the pile, so struck one end of the balancing girder that it fell, crushing plaintiff’s foot. The Circuit Court of Appeals held that the child could not, by reason of her age, be charged with contributory negligence or with being a trespasser, and that defendant was liable.

Contempt by Allowing Lynching of Federal Prisoner.—A negro having been convicted of a crime which had aroused intense local feeling, and being under sentence of death, appealed to the Federal Supreme Court. That tribunal allowed the appeal and ordered all proceedings stayed. The community which had expected the prisoner’s execution on the day set by the state court was so aroused by inflammatory newspaper reports concerning the interference by allowance of appeal to the Supreme Court that a mob entered the jail, took forth and hanged the prisoner. In *United States v. Shipp*, 29 Supreme Court Reporter, 637, it appeared that the sheriff in charge of the prisoner had not been vigilant enough in guarding the jail, and that his resistance to the mob had been too mild. There was even evidence to show an understanding between the officers and the crowd. In an opinion from which three of the justices dissented, the United States Supreme Court held the sheriff guilty of contempt in failing to give his prisoner adequate protection.

Sale of Intoxicants to Inebriates.—A Kentucky statute forbids giving or furnishing intoxicants to inebriates or to any person who habitually becomes intoxicated. In *Adams Express Co. v. Kentucky*, 29 Supreme Court Reporter, 633, the express company was prosecuted for violating that statute by delivering to an inebriate liquor which had been purchased by him in Ohio and sent to him at his residence in Kentucky. The lower court ruled that the transportation and delivery of the liquor did not constitute interstate commerce. The Federal Supreme Court held the statute void in so far as it applied to interstate commerce; liquor, however obnoxious and hurtful it may be in the judgment of many, being a recognized article of commerce.